MDR: M4-02-3395-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution</u>, a review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

I. DISPUTE

- 1. a. Whether there should be additional reimbursement for date of service 05/10/01?
 - b. The request was received on 05/02/02.

II. EXHIBITS

- 1. Requestor, Exhibit 1:
 - a. Initial TWCC 60 and letter requesting dispute resolution
 - 1. EOBs
 - 2. UB-92
 - b. Additional documentation received on 06/19/02
 - 1. Position statement
 - 2. Medical records
 - 3. EOBs from different carriers
 - c. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 2. Respondent, Exhibit II
 - a. Response to Request for dispute resolution
 - b. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 3. Per Rule 133.307 (g) (3), the Division forwarded a copy of the requestor's 14 day response to the insurance carrier on 06/25/02. Rule 133.307 (g) (4) or (5), the carrier representative signed for the copy on 06/28/02. The response from the insurance carrier was received in the Division on 07/09/02. Based on 133.307 (i) the insurance carrier's response is timely.

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

1. Requestor: Letter undated

"Payment amounts not covered by an established fee guideline are subject to the criteria identified in section 413.011(b) of the Texas Labor Code: ... This is not a Cost Based Model of reimbursement: ... The Fact that other carriers pay the amount billed by the Requestor does provide evidence of fair and reasonable: ... Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guidelines are inapplicable: ... The Consistency with which a payment formula is applied is no proof that it is air [sic] and reasonable. ... Any Methodology that uses The HCFA Ambulatory surgery Center 1994 Medicare Rate Survey, and/or the HCFA Medicare Program: Update of Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment Rates Effective for Services on or After October 1, 1997 is invalid."

MDR: M4-02-3395-01

2. Respondent: Letter dated 07/08/02

"Because the provider has failed to prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable, the provider is not entitled to further reimbursement."

IV. FINDINGS

- 1. Based on Commission Rule 133.307 (d)(1&2), the only date of service (DOS) eligible for review is 05/10/01.
- 2. The amount in dispute is \$17,759.00 per the disputed tables chart.
- 3. The carrier disputed the reimbursement request based on a fair and reasonable denial.

V. RATIONALE

The medical documentation indicates the services were performed at an ambulatory surgery center. Commission Rule 134.401 (a)(4) states ASCs, "shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate..."

Section 413.011 (b) of the Texas Labor Code states, "Guidelines for medical services must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fees charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. The Commission shall consider the increased security of payment afforded by this subtitle in establishing the fee guidelines."

Rule 133.307 (g) (3) (D) places certain requirements on the provider when supplying documentation with the request for dispute resolution. The provider is to discuss, demonstrate, and justify that the payment amount being sought is fair and reasonable. Commission Rule 133.304 (i)(1-4) places certain requirements on the carrier when reducing the billed amount to fair and reasonable. Regardless of the carrier's methodology or lack thereof, or a timely or untimely response, the burden remains on the provider to show that the amount of reimbursement requested is fair and reasonable.

The provider has submitted EOBs from other carriers as examples of "fair and reasonable" reimbursement for same or similar services. These EOBs were paid at different percentages of the billed amount. The willingness of some carriers to provide reimbursement at or near the billed amount does not necessarily document that the billed amount is fair and reasonable and does not show how effective medical cost control is achieved, a criteria identified in Sec. 413.011 (b) of the Texas Labor Code. Therefore, based on the evidence available for review, the Requestor has not established entitlement to additional reimbursement.

MDR: M4-02-3395-01

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this <u>14th</u> day of <u>August</u> 2002.

Carolyn Ollar, RN, BA Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

This document is signed under the authority delegated to me by Richard Reynolds, Executive Director, pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Texas Labor Code Sections 402.041 - 402.042 and re-delegated by Virginia May, Deputy Executive Director.